Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/520,404	MARTINEK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>		
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	
(2) <u>Roger Sampson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 August 2005	Time: <u>3:00pm</u>	
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
QQ		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative to correct dependent claims 4 and 5 and depended on a cancelled claim. The applicant's representative gave the examiner permission to correct the claims via an examiner's amendment. The examiner also indicated that a cited reference on the PTO-1449 was missing and that if the applicant wished to have that reference considered, to please provide it and at that time, the examiner would consider the reference..